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Conditions of motion stability of a system admitting first integrals are obtained in the form of sufficient conditions of zero solution uniqueness of a nonlinear system. The problem of stability of permanent rotations of a heavy solid body with a single fixed point is illustrated here by the eatablishment of three sufficient conditions of such stability. Two of these coincide with those derived earlier [1], while the third is more general. The proposed procedare for the derivation of Liapunov's function from integrals of motion is a synthesis of a number of known methods [2-4]. The problem used here as an illustration was considered by several authors (see, e. g. , [5-9]. A new set of permanent rotations is formulated directly on the admissible arc on the Staude cone in conformity with Rumiantsev's theories.

1. Let $U_{i}(x)=$ const ( $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ ) be the first integrals of motion of some mechanical system ( $x$ is an element of an $n$-dimensional space). Functions $U_{i}(x)$ are assumed determinate in the neighbochood of zero and continuous there together with their first onder derivatives. If $U_{i}(0)=0$ and the identity $x \equiv 0$ define the unperturbed motion of the input mechanical system, then

$$
V(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{i}{ }^{2}(x)
$$

may be taken as the Liapunov function for investigating such system stability.
Function $V(x)$ is positive definite if and only if there exists a cube $S(0, a)=$ $\left\{x:\left|x^{j}\right|<a, j=1, \ldots, n ; a>0\right\}$ in which the zero solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}(x)=0, \ldots, U_{k}(x)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is unique.
Let us assume that the integrals are holomorphic ( $\alpha_{1}{ }^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}{ }^{i}, \alpha_{s j}{ }^{i}$ are real constants ), i, e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}(x)=\alpha_{1}{ }^{i} x^{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}{ }^{i} x^{n}+\sum_{s, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{s j}{ }^{2} x^{s} x^{j}-o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that the rank of matrix $\left\|\alpha_{j}{ }_{j}\right\|$ is ( $k-1$ ) and the nonzero determinant of the ( $k$ - 1) -st order is located in the upper left-hand corner. We assume that the diagonal minors of that determinant are nonzero. On these assumptions it is possible to find a cube $S(0, a)$ such that the set of points $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r}, x^{r+1}, \ldots x^{n}\right)$ contained in it satisfies the first $r \leqslant k-1$ equations of system (1.1) and is defined by the continuously differentiable functions [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}=\psi_{r}^{i}\left(x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), \quad \psi_{r}^{i}(0, \ldots, 0)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, r \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The uniqueness of solution $\psi_{T}{ }^{i}$ implies that when a certain nonzero vector satisfies these equations, at least one of its components $x_{0}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{0}{ }^{n}$ is nonzero.

We shall indicate $k$ sufficient conditions of stability of the zero solution of the system of differential equations which admits integrals (1.2). These consitions successively extend in the sense that when the $i$-th condition is satisfied, then all conditions numbered $i+1$ to $k$ are automatically satisfied.

Theorem. There exist $k$ successively extending sufficient conditions of stability of the zero solution of the system of differential equations that admit integrals (1.2). These conditions are determined by the sufficient conditions for the functional determinant

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta(x)=\left|a_{i j}\right|, a_{i j}=\alpha_{j}^{i}, j=1, \ldots, k-1 \\
& a_{i k}=U_{i}(x) ; i=1, \ldots k \tag{1,4}
\end{align*}
$$

or any of the expressions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{r+1}=\Delta\left[\psi_{r}^{1}\left(x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), \ldots, \psi_{r}^{r}\left(x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right]  \tag{1.5}\\
r=1, \ldots,(k-1)
\end{array}
$$

to have the property of fixed sign.
Proof. We represent the system of Eqs.(1.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}^{i} x^{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}^{i} x^{n}=-U_{i}(x)+\sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{s}^{i} x^{s}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and shall show that when any of the $k$ conditions of the theorem are satisfied, there exists a number $a>0$ such that in the cube $S(0, a)$ the solution $x=0$ of the system of Eqs. ( 1,1 ) is unique. Let us compare the rank of matrix $\left\|\alpha_{j}^{i}\right\|(i=1, \ldots$, $k ; j=1, \ldots, n$ ) with that of a matrix whose last column elements $b^{i}$ are of the form

$$
b^{i}=-U_{i}(x)+\sum_{s=1}^{n} a_{s}{ }^{i} x^{s}
$$

and consider the $k$-th order minor $\Delta_{k}(x)$ of the latter which is composed of $(k-1)$ -st firsts and the last columns. Obviously $\Delta_{k}(x)=-\Delta(x)$. If function $\Delta(x)$ is of fixed sign, there exists a cube $S(0, a)(a>0)$ in which $\Delta(x)>0$ and the solution $x=0$ of the system of Eqs. ( 1,1 ) is unique when $x \neq 0$. To prove this we assume the existence of a nonzero solution $x_{0} \in S(0, a)$ of that system, and consider the ancillary linear system of equations obtained by the substitution of $x_{0}$ into its righthand side. Since $\Delta_{k}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, hence by the Kronecker-Capelli theorem the ancillary linear system has no solution and, consequently, vector $x_{0}$ cannot be a solution of the system of Eqs. (1, 1).

Let us now assume that function $f_{r+1}$ is of fixed sign. Then there exists an ( $n$ $-r)$-dimensional cube $\left|x^{r+1}\right|<a, \ldots,\left|x^{n}\right|<a(a>0)$ in which that function is nonzero, except at points $x^{r+1}=\ldots=x^{n}=0$. The solution $x=0$ of the system of Eqs. (1.1) in the $n$-dimensional cube $S(0, a)$ is unique. To prove this we assume
that $x_{0} \neq 0, x_{0} \in S(0, a)$ is the solution of system (1.1). Then at least one of the components $x_{0}{ }^{k}, \ldots, x_{0}{ }^{n}$ must be nonzero and

$$
\Delta\left(x_{0}\right)=\Delta\left[\psi_{r}{ }^{1}\left(x_{0}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{0}{ }^{n}\right), \ldots, \psi_{r}^{r}\left(x_{0}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{0}{ }^{n}\right), x_{0}^{r+1}, \ldots, x_{0}{ }^{n}\right]
$$

Since in the ancillary linear system of equations introduced above $\Delta_{k}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, hence $x_{0}$ cannot be a solution of Eqp. (1.6) and (1.1).

Let us show that the stability conditions extend succesively. The constant sign property of $\Delta(x)$ implies that function $f_{z}$ has the same property. Let function $f_{r+1}$ be of fixed sign and at least one the quantities $x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}$ be nonzero. Then function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r+1}\left[\psi_{r+1}^{r+1}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right] \tag{1,7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is pooitive. Taking into account the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r+1}^{i}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \equiv \psi_{r}^{i}\left[\psi_{r+1}^{r+1}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right], \quad i=1, \ldots, r \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that function (1.7) satisfies the identity

$$
\Delta\left[\psi_{r+1}^{1}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), \ldots, \psi_{r+1}^{r}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), \psi_{r+1}^{r+1}\left(x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right]=f_{r+2}
$$

i.e. $t_{r+2}$ is of fixed sign, since it is a function of arguments $x^{r+2}, \ldots, x^{n}$. The theorem is proved.

The principle formulated above goes back to Voiterra [2] who had shown that in the case of three-dimenaional vector $x$ and two integrais $U_{1}(x)$ and $U_{2}(x)$ all isolated points of the system of equations $U_{1}(x)=0$ and $U_{2}(x)=0$ represent stable equilibrium ponitions. Function $\Delta(x)$ is a linear bundie of firs integrals in which there are no linoar terms. The sufficient condition for that bundle to be of fixed sign detemine the first stability condition which, although the most restricted, is the most convenient for the analysis. The mont extended is the $k$-th condition; it coincides with the stability condition that follows from the Routh theorem with Liapunov's supplement [11, 12]. Note that Eqs. (1.1) define an integral manifold similar to that encountered in solutions of the inverse problems of dynamics [13].
2. Let us apply the proceduse set forth in Sect. 1 for determining the stability region of rotation of a heavy solid body with a single fixed point in direct formulation on the Staude cone. The equations of motion are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& d x / d t=P_{x}+\chi(x)  \tag{2.1}\\
& P=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
\omega F & -F \\
Q & H
\end{array}\right\|, \quad F=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \gamma & -\beta \\
-\gamma & 0 & \alpha \\
\beta & -\alpha & 0
\end{array}\right. \| \\
& \left.Q=G \| \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & z_{0} A^{-1} & -y_{0} A^{-1} \\
-z_{0} B^{-1} & 0 & x_{0} B^{-1} \\
y_{0} C^{-1} & -x_{0} C^{-1} & 0
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \\
& H=\omega \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & (B-C) \gamma & A^{-1} & (B-C) \beta \\
(C-A) \gamma & B^{-1} & 0 & (C-A) \alpha B^{-1} \\
(A-B) \beta & C^{-1} & (A-B) \alpha C^{-1} & 0
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{1}(x)=x^{2} x^{6}-x^{6} x^{2}, \quad \chi^{2}(x)=x^{8} x^{4}-x^{6} x^{1} \\
& \chi^{3}(x)=x^{1} x^{5}-x^{4} x^{2}, \quad \chi^{4}(x)=(B-C) A^{-1} x^{6} x^{6} \\
& \chi^{5}(x)=(C-A) B^{-1} x^{4} x^{6}, \quad \chi^{6}(x)=(A-B) C^{-1} x^{4} x^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A>B>C>0$ are moments of inertia, $x_{0}>0, y_{0}>0, z_{0}>0$ are the coordinates of the center of gravity, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and $\omega$ determine the specified permanent rotation. Equation (2.1) admits the integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}(x)=2 \alpha x^{1}+2 \beta x^{2}+2 \gamma x^{3}+\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{8}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{2,2}\\
& U_{2}(x)=A \alpha \omega x^{1}+B \beta \omega x^{2}+C \gamma \omega x^{3}+A \alpha x^{4}+B \beta x^{5}+ \\
& C \gamma x^{6}+A x^{1} x^{4}+B x^{2} x^{5}+C x^{3} x^{6}=\text { const } \\
& U_{2}(x)=2 G x_{0} x^{1}+2 G y o x^{2}+2 G_{z 0} x^{3}+2 A \alpha \omega x^{4}+ \\
& 2 B \beta \omega x^{5}+2 C \gamma \omega x^{6}+A\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}+B\left(x^{5}\right)^{2}+C\left(x^{6}\right)^{2}=\text { const }
\end{align*}
$$

where $U_{1}, U_{2}$, and $U_{3}$ represent the trivial first integral, the integral of areas, and the integral of energy, respectively. From (1.4) and (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(x)=2 \alpha \beta \omega(B-A) \Delta^{\circ}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{5}, x^{6}\right) \\
& \Delta^{\circ}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{5}, x^{4}, x^{5}, x^{6} \mid=-G \Delta_{1}^{\circ}+\Delta_{2}^{\circ}\right. \\
& \Delta_{1}^{\circ}=\alpha^{-1} x_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\beta^{-1} y_{0}\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\gamma^{-1} z_{0}\left(x^{8}\right)^{2} \\
& \Delta_{2}^{\circ}=A\left(\omega x^{1}-x^{4}\right)^{2}+B\left(\omega x^{2}-x^{6}\right)^{2}+C\left(\omega x^{5}-x^{8}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two stability conditions are determined by the sufficient conditions of the fixed sign property of functions $\Delta^{\circ}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{6}, x^{6}\right)$ and $\Delta^{\circ}\left[\psi^{1}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right), x^{2}, x^{3}\right.$, $\left.x^{4}, x^{5}, x^{6}\right]$, where $\psi_{1}{ }^{1}\left(x^{4}, x^{3}\right)$ is the solution of equation $U_{1}(x)=0$ for $x^{1}$. The second of these conditions coincides with conditions in [1] and is defined by the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{2} x_{0}+\alpha^{2} \beta^{-1} y_{0}\right)>0  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{2} x_{0}+\alpha^{2} \beta^{-1} y_{0}\right) \gamma^{-1} z_{0}+\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \gamma^{2} x_{0} y_{0}>0
\end{align*}
$$

The third condition is determined by the mfficient conditions of fixed sign property of function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\circ}\left[\psi_{2}{ }^{1}\left(x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{5}, x^{6}\right), \psi_{2}^{2}\left(x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{5}, x^{6}\right), x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{6}, x^{6}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{2}{ }^{1}$ and $\psi_{2}{ }^{2}$ are obtained by solving the system of equations $U_{1}(x)=0$ and $U_{2}(x)=0$ for $x^{2}$ and $x^{2}$, Using the Lagrange method for rechucing a quadratic to a sum of squares it is posesble to show that the third stability condition shows the existence on the arc $(x,-y)$ of the Staude cone of a set of permanent rotations that is wider that in [1].

Note that stability is only posible in the critical case of two paits of pure imaginary roots and a double zero root [14]. Nonmultiple elementary divisors of matrix $P$ correspond to a zero multiple root, since two linearly independent solutions can be indicated for the equation $P_{u}=0$.

Remark. Function $\Delta(x)$ is the quadratic integral for Eq. (2.1) which is unique and correct within the constant multiplier. We prove this uxing the equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{6}\left[p_{i 1} x^{1}+\cdots+p_{i 6} x^{6}+\chi^{i}(x)\right] \frac{\partial V}{\partial x^{i}}=0
$$

whose solution is sought in the form $V=x^{\prime} X x$, where $X=\left\|x_{i j}\right\|_{1}{ }^{6}$ is a symmetric matrix with indeterminate coefficients. The latter are determined by using equations $x^{\prime} X \chi(x)=0$ and $x^{\prime}\left(P^{\prime} X+X P\right) x=0$ which reduce to a system of algebraic equations in $x_{i j}$ which has a unique solution.
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